Intra-tRNA distance measurements for nucleocapsid protein-dependent tRNA unwinding during priming of HIV reverse transcription
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ABSTRACT  We report here the direct measurement of intra-tRNA distances during annealing of the tRNA primer to the HIV RNA genome. This key step in the initiation of retroviral reverse transcription involves hybridization of one strand of the acceptor arm of a specific lysine tRNA to the primer binding site on the RNA genome. Although the mechanism of tRNA unwinding and annealing is not known, previous studies have shown that HIV nucleocapsid protein (NC) greatly accelerates primer/template binary complex formation in vitro. An open question is whether NC alone unwinds the primer or whether unwinding by NC requires the RNA genome. We monitored the annealing process in solution by using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). Distance measurements demonstrate unequivocally that the tRNA acceptor stem is not substantially unwound by NC in the absence of the RNA genome, that is, unwinding is not separable from hybridization. Moreover, FRET measurements show that both heat- and NC-mediated annealing result in an ~40-Å increase in the separation of the two ends of the tRNA acceptor arm on binding to the template. This large increase in separation of the two ends suggests a complete displacement of the nonhybridized strand of the acceptor stem in the initiation complex.

An early step in the initiation of retroviral reverse transcription is binary complex formation between the RNA genome and a host-cell tRNA that is used as a primer by reverse transcriptase. The annealing process requires hybridization of the 3′ strand of the tRNA acceptor arm to the complementary primer binding site (PBS) sequence on the RNA genome. In HIV-1, human tRNA\(^{\text{LYS-3}}\) is selectively packaged for use as the replication primer (1). After the primer/template complex is formed, reverse transcriptase extends the 3′ hydroxyl at the terminus of the tRNA to produce an initial cDNA product.

Both the RNA genome and the tRNA primer undergo extensive conformational changes on binary complex formation. Previous studies have shown that recombinant HIV-1 nucleocapsid protein (NC) acts as an RNA ‘chaperone’ to facilitate RNA rearrangements (recently reviewed in ref. 2) and, in particular, gel electrophoresis studies have demonstrated that NC promotes tRNA annealing to the RNA genome (3, 4). However, little is known about the annealing mechanism or about the tertiary structure of the tRNA/NC and tRNA/NC/RNA genome complexes.

Based on near-UV circular dichroism studies, NC was proposed to denature or unwind free tRNAs in the absence of Mg\(^{2+}\), whereas the extent of unwinding was significantly reduced when Mg\(^{2+}\)-renatured tRNA was used (5). However, the spectral changes observed in circular dichroism studies of Mg\(^{2+}\)-free tRNA have been interpreted by other researchers to be caused by changes in base stacking interactions rather than helix unwinding (6). NMR studies performed in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) also are inconsistent with significant unfolding of tRNA helices by NC (7). The Pb\(^{2+}\)-ribozyme self-cleaving activity of tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\), however, was completely inhibited by one molar equivalent of NC, suggesting that even in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\), NC may alter the tertiary conformation of the tRNA (7).

In this work, we use fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) to directly probe the tRNA acceptor stem structural rearrangements that occur on primer annealing to the PBS. In addition, we use this technique to resolve the question of whether NC alone unwinding of the tRNA acceptor stem occurs in the absence of the RNA genome or whether NC requires the template as a cofactor. By using a recombinant C-terminal histidine-tagged form of NCp15 (8), we measure intra-tRNA distance changes between the 3′ and 5′ ends of the acceptor arm by using FRET. We established that NCp15 does not induce substantial acceptor stem helix unwinding or strand separation in the absence of template. We also determined for the first time the distance between the 3′ and 5′ ends of the tRNA in both heat- and NCp15-annealed primer/template binary complexes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Cystamine, sodium cyanoborohydride, sodium periodate, and sodium hypophosphite were purchased from Sigma. Tetramethylrhodamine-5-iiodoacetamide (TMR-IA), 5-iodoacetamidefluorescein (IAF), and tris-(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) were purchased from Molecular Probes. DNA plasmids used for preparing tRNA\(^{\text{LYS-3}}\) and the 394-nt HIV-1 HXB2 RNA genome have been described (8). Plasmid pNCH6, which encodes the gene for HIV-1 NC with poly(histidine) at the C terminus, was a gift from S. Le Grice (Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland).

Protein Purification. NCp15 was prepared from BL21(DE3) cells carrying plasmids pNCH6 and pREP4 (Qiagen). Cells (3 liters) were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) media containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 25 μg/ml kanamycin at 37°C to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.7. Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to 1 mM, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 hr.
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The following steps were performed at 4°C using freshly degassed buffers. Cells were resuspended in 90 ml of buffer A (50 mM sodium phosphate/5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0/10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol/10 μM ZnCl2) containing 20 mM imidazole. Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (0.2 mM) and lysozyme (1 mg/ml) were added. After stirring for 15 min, NaCl (0.2 M) and Triton X-100 (1% vol/vol) were added. The mixture was stirred for 1 hr, followed by addition of NaCl to 1.3 M. After 30 min of stirring, 45 ml of buffer A/20 mM imidazole/0.5 M NaCl/18% (wt/vol) polyethylene glycol (PEG 8000) were added. After centrifugation at 25,800 × g for 45 min, the supernatant was added to 270 ml of buffer A/0.5 M NaCl and loaded onto a pre-equilibrated, 1-mL Ni2+-nitrilotriacetic acid column (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA). The column was washed with 45 ml of buffer A/0.5 M NaCl/20 mM imidazole, 20 ml of buffer A/100 mM NaCl/15% glycerol/0.1% Triton X-100/6 M guanidine hydrochloride, and 25 ml of the same buffer without guanidine hydrochloride. NCP15 was eluted with 25 ml of buffer B (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.6/100 mM NaCl/15% glycerol/0.1% Triton X-100/10 μM ZnCl2/10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) containing 100 mM imidazole, followed by 25 ml of buffer B with 200 mM imidazole. DTT (5 mM) and ZnCl2 (75 μM) were added. The protein was next chromatographed on a 27-ml CM-Sepharose Fast Flow column (Pharmacia) pre-equilibrated with buffer C (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.6/100 mM NaCl/10% glycerol/75 μM ZnCl2/5 mM DTT). NCP15 was eluted by a 100-ml linear gradient of NaCl from 100 mM to 1.5 M in buffer C. Peak fractions eluted at 650 mM NaCl. NCP15 was concentrated to 1 mg/ml by using Centricon 10 (Amicon) concentrators, followed by a 4-fold dilution with storage buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.6/5 mM DTT/75 μM ZnCl2) and the addition of glycerol to 15% (vol/vol). The protein was stored at -80°C in 10-μl aliquots. This purification routinely yields NCp15 (2–3 mg) that was prepared similarly. NAP-10 column. The 3’-cysteinylated tRNA was reacted with IAF by using conditions similar to those used in the attachment of TMR to the 5’ end. The TMR-fluorescein doubly labeled tRNA (TMR–tRNA–FL) was separated from TMR-tRNA by using HPLC as described in the legend to Fig. 1. Singly 3’-fluorescein-labeled tRNA (tRNA–FL) was prepared similarly.

**Heat-Mediated Annealing Assay.** A modification of the method of Arts et al. (14) was used to promote tRNA/RNA genome annealing by heat. Briefly, in a 100-μl volume, dye-labeled tRNA (0.32 μM) and template (0.49 μM) were heat-annealed in the presence of 0.105 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.3) and 0.21 M KCl by successive incubation at 85, 50, and 37°C for 2.5, 8, and 10 min, respectively. Diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water (400 μl) was added to the samples before recording the emission spectrum. To determine the extent of annealing, samples were concentrated by using ethanol precipitation, analyzed by gel-retardation assays as described (8), and visualized by observing the fluorescence of the dyes by using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc 1000 video gel documentation system (302 nm excitation).

**Fluorescence Measurements.** Steady-state fluorescence spectra were recorded by exciting the samples at 468 nm at 22°C under magic-angle conditions. Time-resolved fluorescence data were determined by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) at 22°C with 468-nm vertically
polarized excitation pulses (~100-fs duration) at a repetition rate of 9.5 MHz. Emission was collected at 90° through a 520-nm interference filter and detected with a Hamamatsu Photonics (Bridgewater, NJ) R3809U-01 microchannel plate photomultiplier tube. The time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy, r(t), was calculated by the usual method (16) from time-resolved emission data collected alternatively with the analyzing polarizer in a vertical (parallel) or horizontal (perpendicular) orientation. All other time-resolved data were recorded with the polarizer set at the magic angle.

**FRET Distance Calculations.** The following equation was used to calculate the FRET efficiency (ε) from steady-state data:

\[ \varepsilon = 1 - \frac{I_{DA}}{I_D} \]  \[1a\]

where \( I_{DA} \) and \( I_D \) are the peak fluorescence intensity of FL in TMR–tRNA–FL and tRNA–FL, respectively. The efficiency of energy transfer is related to the distance between the two probe molecules (R) according to Förster theory (17):

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{1 + (R/R_0)^6} \]  \[1b\]

where \( R_0 \) is the Förster radius (see below). To calculate R from TCSPC data, a nonlinear least square fitting procedure was used to fit the decay data to a sum of exponential decays convolved with the instrument response (~100 ps Full Width Half Maximum). From the best-fit parameters, R was calculated according to Eq. 2e. Under conditions where efficient FRET was observed, the FRET dynamics could be analyzed in detail. The data were fit to a Gaussian distribution \( g(R) \) of fast decays (Eq. 2a), where R and \( \sigma \) are the mean and SD of the distribution, respectively (Eq. 2b), and \( \tau_D \) is the fluorescence lifetime of the donor in the absence of the acceptor (Eq. 2c). The data were corrected for a minor slow-decay component (see Table 1 footnote). Using a scaling factor \( \mathcal{A} \), \( R_0 \) and \( \sigma \) as the adjustable parameters, a trial decay \( I_{FL}(t) \) was calculated and convolved with the instrument response. The resultant decay was compared with the corrected raw data, and the best fit was obtained by using a downhill simplex searching algorithm. The integral in Eq. 2a was evaluated by using the Gaussian quadratures method (18). The mean donor-acceptor distance \( \langle R \rangle \) was obtained from the best fit.

\[ I_{FL}(t) = \mathcal{A} \int g(R) \frac{e^{-t/\tau_D}}{1 + (R/R_0)^6} dR \]  \[2a\]

\[ g(R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(R-R_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \]  \[2b\]

\[ \tau_D = \tau_0 + \frac{6}{R_0^6 + R^6} \]  \[2c\]

**Determination of the Förster Radius** (\( R_0 \)). The Förster radius was determined to be 50 Å, assuming a value of 2/3 for the geometrical factor \( c^2 \) (see below) and by using 1.33 for the refractive index of water at 22°C. The quantum yield of the donor in the absence of acceptor was determined to be 0.29 by using fluorescein at pH 13 as a standard (quantum yield = 0.92 ± 0.03 (19)). The spectral overlap integral was determined to be 2.76 × 10⁻¹⁵ M⁻¹·cm⁻¹·nm⁻⁴ by numerical integration of the emission spectrum of tRNA–FL and the absorption spectrum of TMR-tRNA.

**RESULTS**

**Labeling of tRNA⁰³ with Fluorescent Reporter Groups.** Fig. 2 illustrates schematically changes that may occur to the tertiary structure of human tRNA⁰³ on NCp15 binding (Fig. 2, Lower Left) and annealing (Fig. 2, Lower Right) to the HIV-1 genome. In this work, we first established a labeling procedure for attachment of fluorescent dyes to the 3′ and 5′ ends of the tRNA for use as reporter groups to monitor conformational changes in the acceptor stem domain via FRET. The overall yield of 5′ tRNA modification with TMR was about 80%, as judged from HPLC profiles (data not shown). The subsequent steps afforded approximately 30% conversion of TMR–tRNA to TMR–tRNA–FL (Fig. 1). The doubly labeled species migrates as a doublet on the HPLC, most likely because of RNA conformational heterogeneity on this nondenaturing HPLC column. This was confirmed by using denaturing gel electrophoresis, where we observe that the TMR–tRNA–FL, as well as the singly labeled tRNAs, migrates as single sharp bands (Fig. 1 Inset). A UV–visible spectrum of the purified TMR–
tRNA–FL shows well separated absorbance peaks for RNA (260 nm), FL (494 nm), and TMR (560 nm). Extinction coefficients were calculated to be approximately 70,000 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ for both dyes after conjugation.

**Characterization of Singly and Doubly Dye-Labeled tRNA$^{lys-3}$.** To evaluate the rotational freedom of the tRNA-attached dye molecules that are shown in Fig. 3, steady-state and time-resolved anisotropy measurements, r(t), were carried out. The FL donor emission in free TMR–tRNA–FL and TMR–tRNA–FL heat-annealed to the template has an initial anisotropy, r(0), of ≈0.2, which decayed to ≤0.03 in ≈0.4 ns. Because of the unavailability of a suitable direct excitation source for TMR, its emission anisotropy was determined only by steady-state methods, yielding a value of 0.15 ± 0.02. Based on the small anisotropy values of both FL and TMR, we assumed a value of 2 for $k_2$ in the evaluation of $R_0$ (20).

Fig. 4A shows the emission spectrum of tRNA–FL (green) excited at 468 nm. The spectrum displays a single emission band centered at 520 nm. The fluorescence decay of tRNA–FL is shown in Fig. 4B (green). The decay is very well described by a single exponential with a lifetime of 4.3 ns (Table 1). The steady-state measurements of TMR–tRNA–FL show very efficient energy transfer from FL to TMR on 468 nm excitation. For example, the emission intensity of FL at 520 nm in TMR–tRNA–FL (Fig. 4A, red) is substantially quenched when compared with that of FL in tRNA–FL (Fig. 4A, green). A concomitant increase in the TMR fluorescence intensity at 580 nm in TMR–tRNA–FL also is observed. Under these conditions, TMR emission caused by direct excitation of TMR at 468 nm was found to be negligible (data not shown). Therefore, the 580-nm emission is entirely the result of energy transfer from the FL donor to the TMR acceptor. Based on the steady-state measurements, the FRET efficiency in free TMR-tRNA–FL was calculated to be 93 ± 2%, corresponding to an average distance of 33 ± 1 Å between the two probes.

The TCSPC data for TMR–tRNA–FL were moderately well fit to a bi-exponential decay (Fig. 4B, red). This suggests that the emission may originate from two populations of FL in TMR–tRNA–FL, a fast decay with a lifetime of ≈0.3 ns that accounts for ≈85% of the emission, and a slower 4.3-ns decay that resembles the lifetime of FL in tRNA–FL (Table 1). The fast decay is likely a result of rapid FRET in TMR–tRNA–FL and is consistent with the decrease in the 520-nm emission observed in the steady-state experiments (Fig. 4A).

FRET measurements of donor–acceptor distances in biomolecules are better described by a model that uses a Gaussian distribution of distances rather than only a single distance (21). The former approach (see Materials and Methods) accounts for the range of donor–acceptor distances that presumably result from the conformational distribution of the linkers and the single-stranded CCA 3’ end of the tRNA. For example, for free TMR–tRNA–FL (no NCP15 or template), the fitting procedures reveal a significant spread of donor–acceptor separations ($\sigma = 6$ Å). From the mean of the distribution ($\bar{R}$), an average donor–acceptor distance of 32 Å was determined for free TMR–tRNA–FL (Table 1). This distance agrees well with the value obtained from steady-state measurements.

**Heat-Annealing of tRNA$^{lys-3}$ to the HIV-1 RNA Template.** To assess the effects of the conjugated fluorescent probes on tRNA annealing to the RNA template, we performed heat-annealing gel-retardation assays (8, 15) using singly and doubly labeled tRNAs under identical experimental conditions to those used in

![Fig. 3. Structure of doubly labeled human tRNA$^{lys-3}$ used in the study. TMR and FL are shown attached to the 5’ and 3’ ends of the tRNA, respectively. Nucleotide sequence G$^{90}$–A$^{76}$ is complementary to the PBS.](image_url)
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NCP15-Annealing of tRNA3ly3 to the HIV-1 RNA Template. Before FRET determinations in the presence of both NCP15 and template, we used a conventional gel-retardation assay and

32P-labeled tRNA3ly3 to optimize NCP15-annealing activity at relatively low RNA concentrations to avoid sample aggregation. The time-resolved FRET measurements, therefore, were performed by using conditions where ~85% annealing is routinely observed as monitored by the radioactive gel assay.

The time-resolved FRET data for the NCP15- and heat-annealed TMR–tRNA–FL/T complexes are very similar (Fig. 4B, compare orange and blue curves). The fast components observed for free TMR–tRNA–FL (~0.3 ns) and TMR–tRNA–FL/T (no heat or NCP15; ~0.4 ns), disappear on NCP15-mediated annealing to the template (Table 1). Thus, in the absence of heat, global unwinding of the tRNA acceptor stem requires the simultaneous presence of both NCP15 and template. A FRET analysis of the major (3.9-ns) kinetic component of the fluorescence decay of the NCP15-annealed complex leads to an estimate of 73 Å for the donor–acceptor separation. Time-resolved data for the heat- and NCP15-annealed complexes exhibit an additional (~1-ns) decay component (Table 1) that is assigned tentatively to quenching of the FL emission by the template. It is unlikely that this component is the result of FRET because it also is observed when no TMR acceptor is present, i.e., heat- and NCP15-annealed tRNA–FL/T. In particular, the quenching of the FL emission may be because of one or more guanosine residues in or near the PBS in a subset of annealed complexes that have an appropriate conformation for quenching through electron transfer (22). The differences in the observed amplitudes of the fast component suggest that there may be small structural differences between the NCP15- and heat-annealed binary complexes. Alternatively, the variations may be caused by the presence of NCP15 and Mg2+ ions that are closely associated with the NCP15-annealed complex but absent in the heat-annealed complex.

DISCUSSION

We established a synthetic strategy for covalent attachment of fluorescence energy donor and acceptor probes to the 3’ and 5’ ends of tRNA3ly3. The probes do not significantly affect the capability of the tRNA to hybridize to the template. Based on the known three-dimensional structure of yeast tRNA3me3 (23, 24), the distance between the ribose sugar of 3’ A76 and the phosphate of 5’ G1 is 23 Å. After taking the linkers into account, we estimate that the maximum distance between the center of mass of TMR
and FL in TMR–tRNA–FL is $\approx 48 \, \text{Å}$. Thus, the average distance between the probes in TMR–tRNA–FL determined by using both steady-state and time-resolved FRET measurements ($R \approx 32 \, \text{Å}$) is consistent with the predicted range.

Our studies show that only minor NCp15-induced structural changes occur in the acceptor stem of $\text{tRNA}^{\text{lys}-3}$ in the absence of template. A very similar donor–acceptor distance is measured for tRNA in the presence of NCp15 ($R \approx 35 \, \text{Å}$) as for tRNA alone ($R \approx 32 \, \text{Å}$). The only noticeable change in the fluorescence spectra when NCp15 is added to free TMR–tRNA–FL is a change of the overall emission intensity. The minor changes observed in both steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence measurements on NCp15 addition to free tRNA are entirely consistent with local base unstacking rather than global acceptor stem unwinding. The effect of NC on tRNA structure in the absence of template was previously investigated by CD (5, 6), NMR spectroscopy, and Pb$^{2+}$ cleavage assays (7). In these studies, evidence both for and against NC-induced tRNA structural changes was reported. Although most of the previously reported data also are inconsistent with global tRNA acceptor stem unwinding by NC, many of these earlier experiments were carried out with tRNAs other than $\text{tRNA}^{\text{lys}-3}$ and under conditions (low temperature or $\approx 10 \, \text{mM Mg}^{2+}$) where we observe little NCp15-mediated tRNA$^{\text{lys}-3}$ annealing to the RNA genome in vitro (B.C. and K.M.-F., unpublished data). We carried out FRET measurements by using conditions where in vitro NCp15-annealing activity (the presence of template) is high ($\approx 85\%$). Nevertheless, NCp15 binding to $\text{tRNA}^{\text{lys}-3}$ does not induce substantial acceptor stem unwinding or strand separation in the absence of the RNA genome.

In contrast to the experiments performed in the absence of template, large changes in the average donor-acceptor distance are measured after the tRNA is NCp15-annealed to the RNA genome. The 41-Å increase in probe separation is indicative of complete displacement of the 5′ strand of the acceptor arm on 3′-strand hybridization to the RNA genome. A secondary structure model of the heat-annealed tRNA/ HIV RNA genome initiation complex has been proposed based on chemical and enzymatic structure probing studies (25). This model predicts that there are extensive structural rearrangements of both the tRNA primer and the RNA genome on binary complex formation. In particular, it has been proposed that in the binary complex, the 5′ strand of the tRNA acceptor arm forms a new intramolecular duplex with complementary nucleotides located at positions 47–54 in the TWC stem (Fig. 3). If such an intramolecular duplex forms, the distance between the 5′ and 3′ ends of the tRNA could increase by $\approx 40 \, \text{Å}$. This distance change assumes an A-form helix geometry extending 22 bases from U$^{54}$, which is paired to the 5′ G in the proposed binary complex, to the 3′ A$^{60}$. Thus, the intra-tRNA distances we measured for both heat- and NCp15-annealed complexes are consistent with predictions based on the secondary structure model. Although the distances we measured for both complexes are essentially the same, additional experiments are needed to establish structural differences, if any, in other regions of the heat- and NCp15-annealed complexes. Because mature HIV-1 NC is derived from the gag polyprotein precursor and tRNA$^{\text{lys}-3}$ is placed onto the PBS in protease-negative virus, gag and/or gag-pol polyprotein are likely to be responsible for tRNA annealing in vivo (2, 26). Future in vitro studies using the gag polyprotein are thus also of interest.

$^6$The structure of human tRNA$^{\text{lys}-3}$ with the attached fluorophores was modeled based on the known structure of yeast tRNA$^{\text{Phe}}$ (23, 24) by using INSIGHTII (Biosym Technologies, San Diego). Energy minimization of the fluorophores and linkers was carried out with DISCOVER by using the Consistent Valence Force Field (27).

In summary, we used FRET to directly monitor intra-tRNA distance changes induced by NCp15 in the formation of the initiation complex for HIV-1 reverse transcription. Our data indicate that NCp15 binding alone does not induce strand separation and are consistent with only minor base unstacking in the tRNA acceptor stem. Our analysis demonstrates that substantial unwinding of the acceptor arm occurs only in the presence of both the RNA genome and NCp15. Therefore, tRNA unwinding is not separable from hybridization.
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