

A Borwein-Like Theorem for Overpartitions

Conrad Parker and Melanie Stevenson
Abelian Group

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science
St. Olaf College
Northfield, MN 55057

Oct. 13, 2016

Integer Partitions

Definition

A **partition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition. We write $p(n)$ for the number of partitions of a non-negative integer n .

Integer Partitions

Definition

A **partition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition. We write $p(n)$ for the number of partitions of a non-negative integer n .

Example

\emptyset	$p(0) = 1$
1	$p(1) = 1$
2, 11	$p(2) = 2$
3, 21, 111	$p(3) = 3$

Integer Partitions

Definition

A **partition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition. We write $p(n)$ for the number of partitions of a non-negative integer n .

Example

\emptyset	$p(0) = 1$
1	$p(1) = 1$
2, 11	$p(2) = 2$
3, 21, 111	$p(3) = 3$
4, 31, 22, 211, 1111	$p(4) = 5$

Counting Partitions

Definition

We define the q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_\infty$ by

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k).$$

Counting Partitions

Definition

We define the q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_\infty$ by

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k).$$

Theorem (Euler)

The generating function for the numbers $p(n)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \\ &= 1 + q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 5q^4 + 7q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Overpartitions

Definition

An **overpartition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition and the first occurrence of a part of size j may be *overlined*. We write $\bar{p}(n)$ for the number of overpartitions of n .

Overpartitions

Definition

An **overpartition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition and the first occurrence of a part of size j may be *overlined*. We write $\bar{p}(n)$ for the number of overpartitions of n .

Example

\emptyset	$\bar{p}(0) = 1$
$\bar{1}, 1$	$\bar{p}(1) = 2$
$\bar{2}, 2, \bar{1}1, 11$	$\bar{p}(2) = 4$
$\bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{2}1, 2\bar{1}, 21, \bar{1}11, 111$	$\bar{p}(3) = 8$

Overpartitions

Definition

An **overpartition** λ of a non-negative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the **parts** of the partition and the first occurrence of a part of size j may be *overlined*. We write $\bar{p}(n)$ for the number of overpartitions of n .

Example

\emptyset	$\bar{p}(0) = 1$
$\bar{1}, 1$	$\bar{p}(1) = 2$
$\bar{2}, 2, \bar{1}1, 11$	$\bar{p}(2) = 4$
$\bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{2}1, 2\bar{1}, 21, \bar{1}11, 111$	$\bar{p}(3) = 8$
$\bar{4}, 4, \bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{3}1, 3\bar{1}, 31, \bar{2}\bar{2}, 2\bar{2}, \bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{1}, \bar{2}11, 2\bar{1}\bar{1}, 211, \bar{1}111, 1111$	$\bar{p}(4) = 14$

Overpartition Generating Function

We have the generating function

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n)q^n &= \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \\ &= 1 + 2q + 4q^2 + 8q^3 + 14q^4 \dots\end{aligned}$$

Pentagonal Numbers

Defined by $P_n = \frac{3n^2-n}{2}$ for *any* integer n .

Pentagonal numbers have the useful property

$$P_n \equiv n \pmod{3}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} 2P_n &\equiv 3n^2 - n \pmod{3} \\ &\equiv -n \pmod{3} \\ &\equiv 2n \pmod{3}, \end{aligned}$$

so $P_n \equiv n \pmod{3}$.

Borwein's Conjecture (the + - - conjecture)

Given

$$H_n(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{3i-2})(1 - q^{3i-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a(j)q^j, \quad (1)$$

Conjecture

If $a(j)$ is defined by (1), then for all $j \geq 0$,

$$a(3j) \geq 0, \quad a(3j+1) \leq 0, \quad a(3j+2) \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

Partition Interpretation

We may consider $H_n(q)$ as a generating function for partitions into distinct parts, none of which are congruent to $0 \pmod 3$, which subtracts the number of such partitions with an odd number of parts from those with an even number of parts.

Example

6	7	8	9	10	11
5 1	7	8	8 1	10	11
4 2	5 2	7 1	7 2	8 2	10 1
	4 2 1	5 2 1	5 4	7 2 1	8 2 1
				5 4 1	7 4
					5 4 2
+2	-1	-1	+3	-2	-1

Borwein-Like Theorem

Theorem

Defining the sequence $b(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{3k-1})(1 - q^{3k-2})}{(1 + q^{3k-1})(1 + q^{3k-2})} \end{aligned}$$

we will have $b(3j + 2) = 0$ for all integers $j \geq 0$.

Borwein-Like Conjecture

Conjecture (+ - 0)

In the series $F(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$, we will have

$$b(3n) \geq 0, \quad b(3n + 1) \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad b(3n + 2) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Borwein-Like Theorem

Theorem (equivalent form)

Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Consider overpartitions of n into parts not divisible by 3. Then the number of such overpartitions into an even number of parts equals the number of such overpartitions into an odd number of parts.

Borwein-Like Theorem

Theorem (equivalent form)

Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Consider overpartitions of n into parts not divisible by 3. Then the number of such overpartitions into an even number of parts equals the number of such overpartitions into an odd number of parts.

Example ($n = 5$)

5	$2\bar{2}\bar{1}$	41	2111
$\bar{5}$	$\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}$	$\bar{4}\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$
221	11111	$4\bar{1}$	$2\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$
$\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}$	$\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$	$\bar{4}\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$

Proof: Jacobi Triple Product

Theorem (Jacobi Triple Product)

$$(-a; ab)_\infty (-b; ab)_\infty (ab; ab)_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} b^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

Proof: Jacobi Triple Product

Theorem (Jacobi Triple Product)

$$(-a; ab)_\infty (-b; ab)_\infty (ab; ab)_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} b^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$$

Sketch of Proof.

We deduce via the Jacobi triple product that

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &= \frac{(q; q^3)_\infty (q^2; q^3)_\infty}{(-q; q^3)_\infty (-q^2; q^3)_\infty} = \frac{(q; q^3)_\infty (q^2; q^3)_\infty (q^3; q^3)_\infty}{(-q; q^3)_\infty (-q^2; q^3)_\infty (q^3; q^3)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{P_n}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{P_n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_n = \frac{1}{2}n(3n - 1)$ is the n th pentagonal number.

Proof: Sieve

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We sieve $F(q)$ to get $G(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(3n+2)q^{3n+2}$ as follows.
Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then

$$S(q) = F(q) + \omega F(\omega q) + \omega^2 F(\omega^2 q)$$

Proof: Sieve

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We sieve $F(q)$ to get $G(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(3n+2)q^{3n+2}$ as follows.
Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(q) &= F(q) + \omega F(\omega q) + \omega^2 F(\omega^2 q) \\ &= b(0) + b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + b(3)q^3 + b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + \omega b(0) + \omega^2 b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega b(3)q^3 + \omega^2 b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + \omega^2 b(0) + \omega b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega^2 b(3)q^3 + \omega b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Sieve

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We sieve $F(q)$ to get $G(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(3n+2)q^{3n+2}$ as follows.
 Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(q) &= F(q) + \omega F(\omega q) + \omega^2 F(\omega^2 q) \\
 &= b(0) + b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + b(3)q^3 + b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \omega b(0) + \omega^2 b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega b(3)q^3 + \omega^2 b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \omega^2 b(0) + \omega b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega^2 b(3)q^3 + \omega b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &= 0b(0) + 0b(1)q + 3b(2)q^2 + 0b(3)q^3 + 0b(4)q^4 + 3b(5)q^5 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Sieve

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We sieve $F(q)$ to get $G(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(3n+2)q^{3n+2}$ as follows.
 Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(q) &= F(q) + \omega F(\omega q) + \omega^2 F(\omega^2 q) \\
 &= b(0) + b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + b(3)q^3 + b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \omega b(0) + \omega^2 b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega b(3)q^3 + \omega^2 b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \omega^2 b(0) + \omega b(1)q + b(2)q^2 + \omega^2 b(3)q^3 + \omega b(4)q^4 + b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &= 0b(0) + 0b(1)q + 3b(2)q^2 + 0b(3)q^3 + 0b(4)q^4 + 3b(5)q^5 + \dots \\
 &= 3G(q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Denominator is Irrelevant

Sketch of Proof, cont.

Writing

$$F(q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{P_n}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{P_n}} = \frac{A(q)}{B(q)},$$

we get

$$G(q) = \frac{A(q)B(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q) + \omega A(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q)B(q) + \omega^2 A(\omega^2 q)B(q)B(\omega q)}{3B(q)B(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q)}.$$

Proof: Denominator is Irrelevant

Sketch of Proof, cont.

Writing

$$F(q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{P_n}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{P_n}} = \frac{A(q)}{B(q)},$$

we get

$$G(q) = \frac{A(q)B(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q) + \omega A(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q)B(q) + \omega^2 A(\omega^2 q)B(q)B(\omega q)}{3B(q)B(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q)}.$$

We see that the numerator is a q^{3n+2} sieve of $3A(q)B(\omega q)B(\omega^2 q)$, so the coefficients of q^{3n} and q^{3n+1} will be 0. If we can show that the numerator is in fact zero, then $G(q)$ will be zero as well.

Proof: Closed Form of Coefficients

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We find that the numerator is equal to $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} R(N)q^N$, where

$$R(N) = \sum_{\substack{k,m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ P_k + P_m + P_n = N}} \omega^{m-n} \left[(-1)^k + (-1)^m \omega + (-1)^n \omega^2 \right].$$

We will define $f(k, m, n) = \omega^{m-n} \left[(-1)^k + (-1)^m \omega + (-1)^n \omega^2 \right]$ so that we may write

$$R(N) = \sum_{\substack{k,m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ P_k + P_m + P_n = N}} f(k, m, n).$$

Proof: Defining a Function ϕ

Sketch of Proof, cont.

Letting $X = \{(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid k + m + n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$, we define a function $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$\phi(k, m, n) = \left(k - \frac{2s-1}{3}, m - \frac{2s-1}{3}, n - \frac{2s-1}{3} \right) = (k', m', n'),$$

where $s = k + m + n$. This is a well-defined function, since $2s - 1 \equiv 2 \cdot 2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and

$$s' = k' + m' + n' = s - (2s - 1) = 1 - s \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

We will also have $P_k + P_m + P_n = P_{k'} + P_{m'} + P_{n'}$, although we will not show this here.

Proof: ϕ is an Involution

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We have

$$\phi(k, m, n) = \left(k - \frac{2s-1}{3}, m - \frac{2s-1}{3}, n - \frac{2s-1}{3} \right) = (k', m', n')$$

where $s = k + m + n$. We also see that ϕ is an involution, since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k', m', n') &= \left(k' - \frac{2s'-1}{3}, \dots \right) \\ &= \left(k - \frac{2s-1}{3} - \frac{2(1-s)-1}{3}, \dots \right) \\ &= (k, m, n), \end{aligned}$$

with the second and third terms analogous to the first.

Proof: ϕ Changes Sign of f

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We see that

$$\frac{2s-1}{3} \equiv 2s-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

and

$$m' - n' = \left(m - \frac{2s-1}{3}\right) - \left(n - \frac{2s-1}{3}\right) = m - n,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi(k, m, n)) &= \omega^{m'-n'} \left[(-1)^{k'} + (-1)^{m'} \omega + (-1)^{n'} \omega^2 \right] \\ &= -\omega^{m-n} \left[(-1)^k + (-1)^m \omega + (-1)^n \omega^2 \right] \\ &= -f(k, m, n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Conclusion

Sketch of Proof, cont.

Finally, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R(N) &= \sum f(k, m, n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum f(k, m, n) + \sum f(\phi(k, m, n)) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum f(k, m, n) + \sum [-f(k, m, n)] \right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

General Theorem

Theorem (Overpartition Nonresidue)

Given a prime p , define the sequence $b_p(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_p(n)q^n \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^k)(1 + q^{kp})}{(1 + q^k)(1 - q^{kp})} \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}(-q^p; q^p)_{\infty}}{(-q; q)_{\infty}(q^p; q^p)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then when n is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p , we have

$$b_p(n) = 0.$$

Additional Conjectures

Theorem (Overpartition Nonresidue, equivalent form)

Let n be a quadratic nonresidue modulo a prime p . Consider the overpartitions of n into parts not divisible by p . Then the number of such overpartitions into an even number of parts equals the number of such overpartitions into an odd number of parts.

Proof (Andrews): An Identity

Sketch of Proof.

We have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(-q; q)_\infty} &= \frac{(q; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}{(-q; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty} = (q; q^2)_\infty (q; q)_\infty \\ &= (q; q^2)_\infty^2 (q^2; q^2)_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{n^2}\end{aligned}$$

by the Jacobi triple product.

Proof (Andrews): Expression for $b_p(n)$

Sketch of Proof, cont.

We may rewrite $F_p(q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_p(n)q^n &= \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}(-q^p; q^p)_{\infty}}{(-q; q)_{\infty}(q^p; q^p)_{\infty}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{n^2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)q^{pn} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{-\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \\ p|n-k^2}} (-1)^k g\left(\frac{n-k^2}{p}\right) \right) q^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof (Andrews): Conclusion

Sketch of Proof, cont.

Thus

$$b_p(n) = \sum_{\substack{-\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor \\ p|n-k^2}} (-1)^k g\left(\frac{n-k^2}{p}\right).$$

When n is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p , there will be no k so that $p \mid n - k^2$, so the sum will be empty and $b_p(n) = 0$. \square

References

- ▶ *The Theory of Partitions*, G. E. Andrews, 1976.
- ▶ G. E. Andrews *private correspondence*.
- ▶ “Overpartitions”, S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, 2004.
- ▶ “Partition Theorems in Need of Bijections”, K. Garrett, 2003.