Course Description

In this course we will explore and discuss ancient and modern responses to questions such as: What are the nature, the causes, and the effects of good government? How far are people able to influence the regimes that govern them? Can political philosophy help us identify the best form of government? What are the qualities of a good citizen? Who should rule? What are the qualities of a good ruler? How should political change occur: by reform or by revolution? Is democracy the best form of government? What is liberty? Why is liberty politically and morally relevant? What is the relationship between history and politics?

In answering these questions we will understand the differences between ancient and modern political philosophy. We will also understand how modern political philosophers differed from each other in the way they theorized about politics and its relationship with moral, socio-economic, and cultural aspects.

Course Objectives

To understand what political philosophy is: what are its main concerns and its specific method;
To grasp the foundations of Western political philosophy, as set by Greek political philosophy, particularly, by Plato and Aristotle;
To learn about the specific concerns and ideas of ancient and, respectively, of modern political philosophy;
To understand the differences (and the similarities) between ancient and modern political philosophy;
To know some of the differences between modern approaches to politics;
To become better readers, thinkers, speakers, and writers

Achieving the Course Objectives:

We will learn about the specific nature of political philosophy, particularly, of ancient and modern political philosophy by carefully and closely reading the following books: Plato, *The Republic*, translated by Richard W. Sterling and William C. Scott, W.W. Norton & Company, New York, ISBN: 9780393314677

**Film Screenings:**
*Agora*, Gould Library, Room 344, 7:30 PM, on April 16 and 17
*Leviathan*, Gould Library, Room 344, 7:30 PM, on April 23 and 24
*Hannah Arendt*, Gould Library, Room 344, 7:30 PM, on May 14 and 15

**Course Requirements:**

Four short (two page) essays on the following topics (10% each):

1) Reconstruct Plato’s conception about the moral and political role of stories and myth in the just city. Then, provide one reason for which you think Plato is right and one reason for which you think he got it all wrong.
   *The essay is due on April 13 by 5 PM (e-mail copy to mlupp@carleton.edu)*

   For a good essay you need to:
   (i) Reconstruct Plato’s argument about the role of stories and myth in politics. An important part of this reconstruction is to explain the role that religion plays for Plato in politics, in general, and in a just society, in particular (in the best political regime); thinks that philosophers are the best rulers (why philosophy is essential to politics);
   (ii) Provide a reason for which you agree with Plato. A good reason would be, for example, one that shows how Plato’s argument seems to be confirmed by developments in contemporary politics;
   (iii) Provide a reason for which you disagree with Plato. A good reason would be, for example, one that shows the limitations in Plato’s assumptions about good politics and about human nature.

2) Present Aristotle’s conception of the best form of government and then provide two reasons for which Aristotle disagrees with Plato on this issue.
   *The essay is due on April 29 by 5 PM (e-mail copy to mlupp@carleton.edu)*

   For a good essay you need to:
   (i) Clearly explain why polity is the best form of government for Aristotle. Part of the task is to show what Aristotle means by the ‘best’ or ‘ideal’ political regime;
(ii) Then, you need to identify the main differences between Plato and Aristotle regarding the meaning of ‘the best political regime;’

(iii) Finally, based on a successful presentation of (ii), present two reasons for which Aristotle rejects Plato’s recommendation for the rule of the philosopher. Also, as a coda, you can briefly state Aristotle’s position on the relationship between philosophy and politics.

3) **Present Hobbes’ argument about the state of nature and its consequences for the political arrangements that the English philosopher recommends. Then, relying on Kant’s conception of the state of nature and history, as well as of the role of human reason and freedom in the advancement of human societies, show the limitations of Hobbes’ view of both domestic and international politics.**

**The essay is due on May 13 by 5 PM (e-mail copy to mlupp@carleton.edu)**

For a good essay you need to:

This is a tougher essay to write!

(i) First, you should summarize Hobbes’ view of the state of nature. More precisely, you should present his view of human psychology and the consequences for human behavior in the absence of any form of authority. Then, based on these premises, show the conclusions Hobbes draws about the best political arrangements, both domestically and internationally;

(ii) Second, summarize Kant’s view of the state of nature (unsociable sociability) and history (how human societies develop throughout time and how civil society comes into being). Then, show why, in contrast with Hobbes, Kant does not abandon humans in the hands of nature. On the contrary, he thinks that reason has an important part to play in human affairs.

(iii) This is the turning point of your essay. Now you need to talk about the different conceptions that Hobbes and Kant have on the nature of reason and on its role in human history and politics. Your essay needs to present and briefly contrast Hobbes’ and Kant’s views of reason and of its role in politics

(iv) Based on a successful completion of (iii) show on which points Kant disagrees with Hobbes’ recommendations for domestic and international political arrangements and explain why this is the case.

4) **In On Liberty, J. S. Mill strongly argues in favor of liberty (freedom of thought, discussion, and association), as well as, in favor of individuality and its development, in accordance with what he calls the Greek ideal of self-development. Identify and explain the philosophical and moral assumptions that ground Mill’s argument.**

**The essay is due on May 27 by 5 PM (e-mail copy to mlupp@carleton.edu)**

For a good essay you need to:

(i) Clearly present Mill’s argument in favor of liberty. An important part of this task is to show Mill’s critical analysis of modern society and its dangers for individual
freedom. Also, you should explain what kind of freedom Mill has in mind when he constructs his argument. Also, briefly state what Mill understands by the Greek ideal of self-development.

(ii) Then, you need to move on a deeper level and show the philosophical and moral assumptions that underlie Mill’s argument. Part of the task is to identify what is a philosophical assumption and what is a moral one in the argument that Mill makes, and then show how these assumptions help to better grasp his view of freedom and its connection to the Greek ideal of self-development.

**Final Take Home Exam (40%) is due on Monday, June 2 by NOON (e-mail a copy to mlupp@carleton.edu) Please, do not forget to write your name on your exam and number your pages!**

**Active and informed class participation:** This includes: (i) attending classes; (ii) doing the readings for the day; and (iii) in class assignments that will test your degree of familiarization with and understanding of the reading that you were requested to prepare for the current day (10%).

**What Is Expected from the Students?**

Students will be expected to read, think, form arguments and counter-arguments, understand the fundamental concepts, and participate (in a critical and creative manner) in class discussion. That means that students must keep up in their reading assignments and attend class regularly. Students must be fully prepared at all times to discuss the arguments and concepts from the previous readings. The best students will be knowledgeable, critical but balanced in their critical assessments, and will develop coherent and sound arguments that they can defend in their essays, in their exams, and in class discussion.

**Academic dishonesty:**

"All assignments, quizzes, and exams must be done on your own. Note that academic dishonesty includes not only cheating, fabrication, and plagiarism, but also includes helping other students commit acts of academic dishonesty by allowing them to obtain copies of your work. You are allowed to use the Web for reference purposes, but you may not copy material from any website or any other source without proper citations. In short, all submitted work must be your own.

Cases of academic dishonesty will be dealt with strictly. Each such case will be referred to the Academic Standing Committee via the Associate Dean of Students or the Associate Dean of the College. A formal finding of responsibility can result in disciplinary sanctions ranging from a censure and a warning to permanent dismissal in the case of repeated and serious offenses.
The academic penalty for a finding of responsibility can range from a grade of zero in the specific assignment to an F in this course.

**SCHEDULE OF CLASSES AND READINGS:**

**March 29:**
*Introduction:* What is Political Philosophy? Why Study Political Philosophy? How to Study Political Philosophy?  
A lesson from Ambrogio Lorenzetti’s Allegory of Good and Bad Government

Greek politics and philosophy  
Plato and Socrates  
**Reading:** Plato, *Republic*, Book I

**March 31:**  
*Politics and education:* Justice in the soul and the city  
**Reading:** Plato, *Republic*, Books II and III

**April 5:**  
*Political virtues and political life in the just city: property, family, and the education of the guardians*  
The argument for the rule of the philosopher  
**Reading:** Plato, *Republic*, Books IV, V, VI

**April 7:**  
*Political Regimes and Plato’s criticism of democracy*  
**Reading:** Plato, *Republic*, Books VII and VIII

**April 12:**  
*Plato on Myth, religion, and politics*  
**Reading:** Plato, *Republic*, Books, IX and X  
Conclusions to Plato

**April 14:**  
*Aristotle: Introduction*  
The place and role of political partnership in the human life  
**Reading:** Aristotle, *Politics*, Book 1
April 19:
Citizenship, qualifications for citizenship: the difference between the good citizen and the good man
The nature of the political regime, types of political regimes, and the stability of political regimes
Reading: Aristotle, Politics, Book 3, Chapters 1-13, 18, Book 4, Chapters 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14

April 21:
The best (practicable) political regime
Reading: Aristotle, Politics, Book 4, Chapters 8, 9, 11, Book 5, chapters 1-3, 5-8, Book 7, chapters 1-3, 7, 13-15
Conclusions to Aristotle and discussion of the movie Agora

April 26:
Introduction to Hobbes: Rethinking the foundations of politics
Speech, reason, and power
Reading: Thomas Hobbes, Leviathan, Introduction, Part One, Chapters i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, viii, x, and xi

April 28:
The natural condition of man: natural law and natural rights
Reading: Thomas Hobbes, Leviathan, Part One, Chapters xiii, xiv, xv, and xvi
The commonwealth
Reading: Thomas Hobbes, Leviathan, Part Two, Chapters xvii, xviii, xix, xxi
Conclusions to Hobbes and discussion of the movie Leviathan

May 3:
Introduction to Kant: Enlightenment and politics
Reading: Kant, An Answer to the Question: What is Enlightenment? in Political Writings

May 5:
Kant on Human nature, history, and reason
Reading: Kant, Idea for a Universal History with a Cosmopolitan Purpose, in Political Writings

May 10:
Morality and politics
Reading: Kant, On the Common Saying: ‘This May Be True in Theory, But It Does Not Apply in Practice, in Political Writings

May 12:
Introduction to Mill: Rethinking liberalism
Why does liberty matter? What kind of liberty?
Reading: J. S. Mill, On Liberty, Chapters One and Two
May 17:  
The Value of individuality and its development  
Reading: J. S. Mill, On Liberty, Chapters Three, Four, and Five  
Conclusions to Mill and discussion of the movie Hannah Arendt

May 19:  
Introduction to Nietzsche: the criticism of Western philosophy and culture  
Reading: Friedrich Nietzsche, On the Advantage and Disadvantage of History for Life, 7-27  
Reading:

May 24: Nietzsche on the uses of abuses of history  
Reading: Friedrich Nietzsche, On the Advantage and Disadvantage of History for Life, 28-49

May 26: The modern excess of historical education and its cultural and political dangers:  
Nietzsche’s recommendations  
Reading: Friedrich Nietzsche, On the Advantage and Disadvantage of History for Life, 49-64  
Conclusions to Nietzsche

May 31: Final Review